

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/957,012	09/20/2001	John Lezdey	1434-K	3483
75	90 10/07/2003		EXAM	INER
John Lezdey & Associates			COE, SUSAN D	
4625 EAST BAY DRIVE SUITE302			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Clearwater, FL 33764			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/957,012	LEZDEY ET AL.				
7.d	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan Coe	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 25 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a				
PERIOD FOR RE	<u>:PLY</u> [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•				
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: see		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 2, 3, 5-11 and 21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappı	oved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Application/Control Number: 09/957,012 Page 2

Art Unit: 1654

Supplement to Advisory Action

1. The amendment filed September 25, 2003 will be entered. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code, not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

2. Applicant's arguments regarding the 103 rejections of record are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claims are patentable because the references do not teach using secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor. However, in the response filed by applicant on May 7, 2003, applicant admitted that all of the species, i.e. alpha-1-antitrypsin, secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor and alpha-2-macroglobulin, are all obvious over each other (see second paragraph of "Remarks" section of this response). This means that applicant admits that it would be obvious to substitute the species for each other. Therefore, the claims are still considered obvious based on applicant's admission that all of the species are obvious over each other because it would be considered obvious to substitute secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor for alpha-1-antitrypin.

The statement that all of the species were obvious over each other was used as the basis for withdrawing the election of species requirement in the last Office action. If applicant had not made this statement, the requirement would not have been withdrawn. For applicant to attempt to assert the patentability of secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor over alpha-1-antitrypsin is completely inconsistent with the prosecution record in the case and is not a convincing means to obtain patentable claims.

3. No claims are allowed.

Art Unit: 1654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan Coe whose telephone number is (703) 306-5823. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:00 to 5:30 and on alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback, can be reached on (703) 306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Susan Coe, Examiner October 3, 2003

LEON B. LANKFORD, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER